APPENDIX 4

INVESTIGATION AND RESOLUTION PROCEDURES

FOR COMPLAINTS OF DISCRIMINATION, DISCRIMINATORY HARASSMENT, SEXUAL VIOLENCE, SEXUAL HARASSMENT, GENDER-BASED HARASSMENT, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, DATING VIOLENCE, STALKING AND RETALIATION

I. INTRODUCTION AND APPLICATION

It is the policy of the Universities to provide each student, employee, and other person having dealings with the institutions an environment free from all forms of discrimination, discriminatory harassment, sexual violence, sexual and gender-based harassment, domestic and dating violence, stalking and retaliation as defined in the Universities’ Equal Opportunity, Diversity and Affirmative Action Plan ("EO Plan") and/or each University’s Student Code of Conduct.

These Procedures are intended to provide a mechanism to investigate and resolve complaints of discrimination, discriminatory harassment, sexual violence, sexual harassment, gender-based harassment, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking and retaliation. These Procedures are available and applicable to all students, faculty, librarians, staff, visitors, contractors, applicants for employment or admission, and others having dealings with the Universities.

No community member may retaliate, harass, intimidate, threaten, coerce or otherwise discriminate against any individual for filing a complaint under these Procedures or for otherwise exercising his or her rights or responsibilities under the EO Plan or the Student Code of Conduct.

Complaints of the following prohibited behaviors may be made under these Procedures:

Discrimination or discriminatory harassment on the basis of:

- race
- color
- religion
- national origin
- age
- disability/failure to accommodate
- sex/gender
- sexual orientation
- gender identity
- gender expression
- genetic information
- marital/paternal status
- veteran status

Any form of the following prohibited conduct:

- sexual violence
  - rape
- sexual assault
- sexual exploitation
- incest
- statutory rape
- aiding in the commission of sexual violence
- sexual harassment
- gender-based harassment
- domestic violence
- dating violence
- stalking

Retaliation

II. INVESTIGATION AND RESOLUTION PROCEDURES

Investigations of complaints are necessary to determine:
• whether a complaint alleges a violation of the EO Plan or the Student Code of Conduct;
• whether prohibited conduct has occurred;
• whether there is an ongoing risk of harm for further prohibited conduct and, if so, what steps must be taken to prevent its recurrence;
• whether interim protective measures should be in place for the safety of the complainant or the community, or to redress the effects of prohibited conduct;
• whether the conduct warrants disciplinary action; and
• whether local or system-wide changes to policies, practices or training should be considered and implemented by the Universities.

These Procedures have been designed to provide prompt, thorough, fair and impartial processes from investigation to final result. The proceedings pursuant to these Procedures will be conducted transparently and consistently with the Universities’ policies. The formal rules of process or evidence, such as those applied in criminal or civil courts, are not used under these Procedures.

All investigations of alleged sexual harassment, sexual violence, dating violence, domestic violence and stalking under these Procedures will be conducted using a trauma-informed approach to support complainants, while ensuring that appropriate support and due process protections are provided to all parties.

The trauma-informed approach is based on scientific evidence that a person who is subjected to a traumatic situation experiences a change in brain function that can materially affect the person’s response to the situation and how memories of the event may be stored and recalled. A trauma-informed approach acknowledges that a complainant in a sexual harassment, sexual violence, dating violence, domestic violence or stalking case may have suffered a traumatic incident that may affect their participation during an investigation. A trauma-informed approach is also consistent with the provision of a fair and equitable process for the respondent.

The complaint investigation and resolution process is comprised of two processes, the Informal Resolution Procedure and the Formal Investigation Procedure, which are detailed below.

Deviations from the Informal Resolution Procedure or Formal Investigation Procedure shall not necessarily invalidate a decision, unless significant prejudice may result to a party or the University.

Note: While these Procedures identify certain University officers and employees who have particular roles and duties, the Universities may designate other officers or employees to perform specific roles and/or duties set forth in these Procedures.

A. Informal Resolution Procedure

After full disclosure of the allegations and the parties’ option for formal resolution, and if the University determines that a particular complaint is appropriate for such process, the parties to a dispute may attempt to reach an informal resolution of the potential violation. The Universities encourage involved parties to request the intervention of the University to assist in an informal resolution by contacting the EO Officer.

In conference with the Title IX Coordinator and/or others as necessary, the EO Officer or the EO Officer’s designee will assess the request for informal resolution against the severity of allegations and the potential risk of a hostile environment or safety concern for other campus community members. If an informal resolution is appropriate, the EO Officer will notify the parties. The University will not ask a
complainant to resolve a problem with a respondent without the involvement of the EO Officer or designee.

The EO Officer or designee will facilitate a dialogue with the parties in an attempt to reach a resolution. At no time prior to the resolution of the matter shall either party question or confront the other, or engage a third party to do so, outside the presence of the EO Officer or designee.

The matter will be deemed resolved when all parties expressly agree to an outcome that is acceptable to them and to the University. Every attempt will be made to conclude the Informal Resolution Process within sixty (60) days of the date of the complainant’s request.

A complainant is not required to use the Informal Resolution Procedure before initiating the Formal Investigation Procedure. A party may withdraw from the Informal Resolution Procedure and initiate the Formal Investigation Procedure at any time.

B. Formal Investigation Procedure

Submission of Complaint and Initial Review

When a person believes that s/he has been harassed, discriminated or retaliated against, stalked or subjected to violence in violation of the EO Plan and/or the Student Code of Conduct, s/he may file a written complaint, preferably using the Complaint Form attached to these Procedures. Individuals are encouraged to file complaints directly with the EO Officer, but complaints may also be filed with other offices, such as the Title IX Coordinator, Student Conduct, Human Resources, Residence Life or Campus Police. Any complaint that is initially filed with other offices will be reported to the EO Officer.

In certain circumstances, the University may initiate the Complaint Investigation and Resolution Procedures without the filing of a written complaint or the active participation of a complainant. Complaints may be generated upon receipt of a report by a complainant or by a third-party reporter, such as, but not limited to, a friend, spouse, family member, advisor, parent or coworker.

A complaint must be filed by the complainant or a University official, and the complaint must be in the words of the complainant or the University official. Complaints should contain all known facts pertaining to the alleged violation, the names of any known witnesses and others with knowledge of the allegations, and an identification of any documents or other evidence.

The EO Officer or designee will determine if the complaint falls within the jurisdiction of these Procedures. When complaints allege sexual violence, sexual harassment, gender-based harassment, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking and/or retaliation, the EO Officer or designee will refer them to the Title IX Coordinator for jurisdictional evaluation and review. At this point, the University will implement any necessary and/or appropriate interim protective measures, or monitor and/or revise any measures already in place. If the University determines that the complaint is not properly filed pursuant to these Procedures, it will provide written notice to the complainant (or third party reporter).

_____________________________

5 Individuals who believe they have been subjected to conduct prohibited by the Sexual Violence Policy also have options to report such incidents confidentially; victims are encouraged, but not required, to file complaints pursuant to these Procedures. Please see Section E of the Sexual Violence Policy for more information on reporting options.
Investigation Process

1. Complaints and the Respondent’s Response

If a complaint has been properly filed, or the University otherwise determines that an investigation is necessary, the University will assign the matter to an Administrative Investigator. The University may also designate other trained and knowledgeable University officials to assist with the investigation. Under circumstances where the University deems it necessary or appropriate, the University may also appoint an external investigator.

The Administrative Investigator will notify the respondent of the complaint, provide him or her with a copy of the complaint, and invite the respondent to submit a written response. See the model Notice to Respondent attached to these Procedures. If the respondent does not respond, or otherwise fails to participate in the investigation, the Administrative Investigator will complete the investigation on the basis of the other information obtained.

Where a complaint is brought by a third party reporter, the Administrative Investigator shall attempt to meet with the potential complainant to discuss his/her participation in the investigation, and to gain additional information.

2. Counterclaims

At times a Respondent may assert a counterclaim against the Complainant in which the Respondent alleges that the Complainant has engaged in conduct that violates the EO Plan. Such counterclaims are treated as separate complaints under the EO Plan.

Under such circumstances, the Investigator will notify and/or provide a copy of the Respondent’s complaint to the Complainant for his or her response in the same manner that the initial complaint is provided to the Respondent.

The University has the discretion to consolidate into one investigation all complaints between a Complainant and a Respondent (or among multiple parties), if the evidence related to each incident would be relevant and probative in reaching a determination on the other incident(s).

Any complaint by a Respondent against a Complainant that is frivolous, knowingly false, or asserted in bad faith will be considered retaliation against the Complainant who filed the original complaint, and will become a separate violation of the EO Plan for which the Respondent may be subject to potential sanctions.

3. Investigation

The investigation shall include, but is not limited to: an analysis of the allegations and defenses presented using the preponderance of the evidence (more likely than not) standard; consideration of all relevant documents, including written statements and other materials presented by the parties; interviews of the parties and other individuals and/or witnesses; and/or reviewing certain documents or materials in the possession of either party that the Administrative Investigator has deemed relevant. The Administrative Investigator may also review any available campus police investigation reports or the investigation reports of local law enforcement authorities.

In regards to complaints alleging sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking, questions, statements, or information about the sexual activity of alleged victim with any person other
than the other party(ies) to the investigation is not relevant and will not be considered. Parties to such complaints are permitted to submit questions for the Administrative Investigator to ask of the other parties and witnesses, but the questions must be specifically about the allegations of the present complaint.

At the conclusion of the investigation of the complaint, the Administrative Investigator shall prepare an Investigation Report for an administrative review. The Investigation Report shall: outline the investigatory steps undertaken; summarize the factual findings; state whether any policy violations have occurred based on the preponderance of the evidence; explain the rationale for each violation determination; and, if applicable, recommend a sanction(s).

Both parties shall be provided with an opportunity to review the Investigation Report and an opportunity to respond in writing in advance of a decision of responsibility and before the Investigation Report is submitted for administrative review.

In providing both parties with the opportunity to review the Investigation Report before a decision of responsibility is made, both parties should be afforded the opportunity to come to campus with his/her advisor (or, if a respondent is a unit member, a Weingarten representative) to review the Investigative Report. To minimize the risk of compromising the investigation and to protect the sensitivity of the information contained in the Investigation Report, the parties should be given as much time as they need to review the Investigative Report, but should not be provided with a hard or electronic copy of the Investigative Report to take with them. Both parties shall then be afforded seven (7) to ten (10) business days to respond in writing to the Investigative Report. The Investigator should follow-up on any material comments made by either party that have not already been given appropriate consideration. Subsequently, the Investigative Report should be finalized by the Investigator to include a decision of responsibility and then submitted for administrative review.

A person making a complaint of sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking may submit an impact statement during the Investigation Process. A respondent to such a complaint may submit a statement of mitigation or extenuation during the Investigation Process. At any point during the investigation, a respondent to any complaint may agree to the allegations and the recommended sanction.

**Administrative Review**

The EO Officer, Title IX Coordinator or other designated University official(s) (the “reviewing body”) will conduct an administrative review of the Investigation. The purpose of the administrative review is to determine whether the investigation is prompt, fair, impartial and thorough. If the reviewing body determines the investigation is deficient, the reviewing body shall remand the matter back to an Administrative Investigator for further investigation.

If the reviewing body determines that the investigation is prompt, fair, impartial and thorough, the reviewing body will then consider whether the recommended discipline or sanction for each violation is consistent with University policy and practice. In determining discipline, the Administrative Investigator and the reviewing body may consider the disciplinary history, if one exists, of the party found responsible. The Administrative Investigator and/or reviewing body may discuss sanctions with other University personnel as necessary, such as Human Resources, Student Conduct or upper-level administrators.
At any point during the administrative review, a respondent to any complaint may agree to the allegations and the recommended sanction.

**Notice of Outcome**

At the completion of the administrative review, the University will notify the party found responsible of all sanctions imposed. If the sanctions imposed include a separation from the residence halls or the University, and, in the opinion of the Administrative Investigator and/or reviewing body, the party poses a continued threat to the safety of the campus community, the sanctions may take effect immediately, and while an appeal is pending.

At the completion of the administrative review, the University will also contemporaneously issue a written Notice of Outcome to all parties. The Notice of Outcome shall include the following:

1. the factual findings of the investigation;
2. the policy violation determination and the rationale for the determination;
3. all sanctions that result from an allegation of sexual violence or other crime of violence;
4. the sanctions that directly relate to the complaining party that arise from an allegation of discrimination, discriminatory harassment, non-violent sexual or gender-based harassment, and/or retaliation; and
5. the parties’ appeal rights.

If no appeal is filed by either party within ten (10) business days of their receipt of the Notice of Outcome, the results will become final and the University will proceed with the imposition of the recommended sanction(s).

**Option for Mediation of Formal Complaints**

During a formal investigation, at any time prior to the issuance of the Notice of Outcome, either party may request mediation of the complaint by contacting the EO Officer or Title IX Coordinator. All parties must agree to mediation for this option to be used.

The purpose of mediation is to resolve the dispute to the satisfaction of all parties. When mediation is appropriate, the EO Officer shall designate an impartial Mediator, who shall be mutually agreed upon and not unreasonably refused by the parties, and inform the parties in writing of the mediation process and schedule. Where practicable, a mediation session shall be conducted no later than thirty (30) days after agreed to by the parties. The timelines presented under these Complaint Investigation and Resolution Procedures shall be tolled pending the outcome of mediation. If successful in resolving the complaint, the Mediator shall reduce to writing the terms of the mediated resolution, which shall be signed by the parties. If mediation does not result in a resolution, all mediation discussions shall remain confidential and may not be used or introduced in this process or any other forum.

**Timeframe**

The University will make every attempt to investigate a complaint within sixty (60) days of the date of its submission. If, for good cause, an investigation cannot be completed within 60 days, the University will provide the parties status updates at reasonable intervals until the investigation is completed. The University will make every effort to conclude the administrative review within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the Investigation Report.
C. Appeals

Either party may appeal the results of an investigation under these Procedures by submitting a written letter of appeal to the EO Officer or designee within ten (10) business days of the party's receipt of the Notice of Outcome. An appeal may be transmitted electronically to the EO Officer or designee. Appeals may be submitted on the following grounds:

1. to allege a material procedural error within the investigation and resolution process that would substantially change the outcome; or
2. to consider new evidence that was not known at the time of the investigation that would substantially change the outcome.

Appeals will not be considered on any other basis.

Upon timely receipt of the appeal letter, the EO Officer shall transmit the Investigation Report and the appeal letter to the appropriate appellate officer or body for review. If the appealing party has presented an appeal on the basis of the grounds set forth above, the appellate officer or body, who shall not have participated in the investigation or administrative review, will review the appeal and make a determination to uphold, reverse or modify the decision. When necessary, the appellate officer or body may seek additional information and/or refer the matter back to the Administrative Investigator, prior to making an appellate determination.

Where practicable, within thirty (30) days of receiving the appeal, the appellate officer or body shall contemporaneously issue a written decision to the parties in which it may uphold, reverse or modify the decision. All appellate decisions are final, provided that any corrective action and/or discipline imposed upon a unit member is subject to applicable collective bargaining agreements.

Under limited, exceptional circumstances, and in compliance with the principles of due process, the University reserves the right to correct, amend, supplement or modify a finding, conclusion or sanction to ensure that an investigation has been prompt, fair, impartial and thorough.

D. Possible Sanctions And Additional Remedies

For Employee Respondents. Disciplinary action taken against an employee shall be regarded as an administrative action, up to and including termination, subject to all provisions of applicable collective bargaining agreements or personnel policies.

Additional disciplinary action, subject to all provisions of applicable collective bargaining agreements or personnel policies, shall also be taken against an employee who violates a sanction or sanctions imposed pursuant to this Plan.

For Student Respondents. A student who has been found to have violated the EO Plan and/or Student Code of Conduct may be subject to sanctions including, but not limited to:

- reprimand
- warning
- disciplinary probation
- loss of privileges
- relocation of residence
- restriction from facilities or activities
- temporary or permanent residence hall suspension
- fines and/or restitution
- disciplinary hold
- assessment
- educational program or project
- revocation of admission or degree
- withholding of degree
- suspension
- expulsion
In general, the sanction typically imposed for students for rape or non-consensual sexual intercourse is expulsion. The sanction typically imposed for students for non-consensual sexual contact, sexual exploitation, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking is suspension or expulsion. All student sanctions, however, are determined on a case-by-case basis in consideration of: the seriousness of the violation; sanctions typically imposed for similar violations; prior disciplinary history; and any other circumstances indicating that the sanction should be more or less severe.

Additional disciplinary action shall also be taken against a student who violates a sanction or sanctions imposed pursuant to this Plan. Depending on the nature of the violation, such discipline may be imposed pursuant to the provisions of this Plan or pursuant to the applicable provision of the Student Code of Conduct. Additional Remedies Following Finding of a Violation.

Where necessary, the Universities will provide additional measures to remedy the effects of a violation. These remedies are separate from, and in addition to, any protective interim measures that may have been provided or sanctions that have been imposed. If the complainant declined or did not take advantage of a specific service or resource previously offered as a protective interim measure, such as counseling, the University will re-offer those services to the complainant as applicable or necessary.

In addition, the University will consider broader remedial action for the campus community, such as increased monitoring, supervision, or security at locations where the incidents occurred, increased or targeted education and prevention efforts, climate assessments/victimization surveys, and/or revisiting its policies and Procedures.

III. RELATED INFORMATION AND DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of these Procedures, the following terms are defined and used as follows:

Complainant. An individual who makes a complaint under these Procedures. The Complainant may also be the University under certain circumstances.

Respondent. An individual who is accused of violating the EO Plan.

Day. A day shall mean a calendar day, unless otherwise specified herein.

Discipline or Sanctions. The terms may be used interchangeably.

Advisors.

Each party to an investigation initiated under the Complaint Investigation and Resolution Procedures is entitled to have an advisor present during any meetings regarding the process (other than meetings between a party and University officials regarding accommodations or protective interim measures). Except as otherwise required by law, the advisor may observe, but may not participate in any way whatsoever, including, without limitation, by asking questions or speaking during the meeting or proceeding. The advisor’s role is to provide support and/or advice to the party. During meetings and proceedings, the advisor may speak with the party or pass notes in a non-disruptive manner. The Universities reserve the right to remove from the meeting or proceeding any advisor who disrupts process, or who does not abide by the restrictions on their participation. In addition, please note that the University does not need to cancel or delay a meeting or proceeding simply because an advisor cannot be present. Further, the advisor is not permitted to attend a meeting or proceeding without the advisee.
The personal advisor for a complainant, a student respondent or a non-unit employee respondent can be any person, including an attorney.

Respondents who are unit members may elect to have a union representative (or other University employee) present during any meeting which the unit member reasonably believes may result in discipline pursuant to the unit member’s Weingarten rights. A respondent unit member who invokes their Weingarten right to a union representative or other University employee is not also permitted an advisor.

If a party’s advisor is an attorney, the University’s legal counsel may also attend the meeting or proceeding.

Referrals to Title IX Coordinator. Complaints of sexual violence, sexual harassment, gender-based harassment, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking and retaliation will be referred to the Title IX Coordinator for evaluation. Before starting an administrative investigation, the Title IX Coordinator or his/her designee will determine whether the reported facts, if true, would violate the Sexual Violence Policy and/or the Student Code of Conduct, and may consult with the complainant to obtain more information and discuss his/her options.

Interim Protective Measures. Upon review of a complaint, the University may determine that interim protective measures are necessary or appropriate for either party, making every effort to avoid depriving any student of access to the student’s education. The Universities will implement interim measures on a case-by-case as described in Section H of the Sexual Violence Policy. Interim measures may also be instituted and/or modified at any point during the investigation and resolution process.

Off Campus Behavior. The Universities may investigate off-campus conduct alleged to violate the EO Plan and/or Student Code of Conduct when such conduct involves behavior by or toward a community member, which (1) occurs during University-sponsored events or the events of organizations affiliated with the University, including study abroad and outside internships; (2) negatively impacts an alleged victim’s access to education programs and activities; (3) adversely affects or disrupts the campus community; and/or (4) poses a threat of harm to the campus community.

Grade Disputes. While grade changes must generally be pursued through the academic grade change procedure, a complaint may proceed under these Procedures when a student alleges that a grade was improper because of discrimination, discriminatory harassment, sexual violence, sexual or gender-harassment, domestic or dating violence, stalking or retaliation. The remedy for policy violations in cases pursued under these Procedures may be determined and/or implemented in conjunction with Academic Affairs.

Amnesty. Students may be hesitant to report sexual violence, sexual or gender-harassment, domestic or dating violence, stalking or retaliation out of concern that they, or witnesses, might be charged with violations of the Universities’ drug/alcohol policies. While the Universities do not condone such behavior, they place a priority on the need to address sexual violence and other conduct prohibited by the Sexual Violence Policy. Accordingly, a University may elect not to pursue discipline against a student who, in good faith, reports, witnesses, or participates in an investigation of sexual violence, sexual or gender-harassment, domestic or dating violence, stalking or retaliation.

Conflicts of Interest. If any University official involved in the investigation, resolution or appeal process believes there is a potential or actual conflict of interest regarding their role, they must disclose this
conflict in advance to the EO Officer, Title IX Coordinator (where applicable) or designee. Likewise, if a complainant or respondent believes there is a conflict of interest present regarding any University official involved in the investigation, resolution or appeal process, s/he must disclose the conflict in advance to the EO Officer, Title IX Coordinator (where applicable) or designee. If a valid conflict of interest exists, the University will take steps to remedy or eliminate the conflict.

Confidentiality of Process. These Procedures will be conducted as confidentially as reasonably possible to protect the privacy of all involved. The Universities may share information about the allegations with parties, witnesses or others on a need-to-know basis, and shall share information with union representatives pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 150E, or as otherwise required by law. All with whom information is shared shall be advised of the confidential nature of the information and directed not to discuss it with anyone except an advisor or union representative. See Section VI of the Sexual Violence Policy for more information about confidential reporting options.

Joint Investigation. In some circumstances, a respondent’s conduct may constitute a potential violation of other University conduct policies in addition to the EO Plan and/or the Student Code of Conduct. To avoid duplicative efforts, the University may undertake a joint investigation of the conduct under these Procedures. Based on the findings of the joint investigation, the respondent may be subject to disciplinary action for violations of the EO Plan and/or the Student Code of Conduct, as well as other policy violations.

Preponderance of the Evidence. The standard of review used to evaluate all complaints. Under this standard, conclusions must be “more likely than not.” Accordingly, the fact-finder must find that it is more likely than not that the respondent violated the University’s EO Plan, Student Code of Conduct or other relevant University policy.

Notice of Meetings and Access to Information. Each party to an investigation will be provided timely notice of meetings at which such party or both parties may be present. The parties will be afforded the same and timely access to any information that the University is aware will be used at any meeting or hearing. Where appropriate or required by law, the University may redact the complainant’s name and other identifying information from any information provided to the respondent, including the specific charge and the complaint or related materials.

Training of Officials Who Participate in the Investigation and Resolution Process. Proceedings pursuant to these Procedures are conducted by officials who receive annual training on the issues of discrimination, discriminatory harassment and retaliation. Officials also receive training specific to matters of sexual and gender-based harassment, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, as well as how to conduct an investigation that protects the safety of complainants, promotes accountability, and provides all parties with the same opportunities to be accompanied to meetings or proceedings by an advisor of their choice.

Refusal of Participation. In cases where a complainant or respondent (or both) refuses or fails to participate in the investigation and resolution process, the University may continue the process without the complainant and/or respondent’s participation. The failure of the respondent to participate in the investigation and resolution process will not prevent the University from imposing discipline or other sanctions when a violation is found.

Right to File an Outside Complaint. Individuals have the right to file charges of discrimination, discriminatory harassment, sexual violence, sexual or gender-harassment, domestic or dating violence,
stalking or retaliation at any time with the appropriate government agency, with or without utilizing these Procedures. More information about filing an outside complaint can be found in the Non-Discrimination and Harassment Policy and the Sexual Violence Policy, both of which are contained in the EO Plan.

Concurrent Criminal or Civil Proceedings. Persons may be accountable to both the Universities and the state for conduct that constitutes potential violations of the EO Plan and/or Student Code of Conduct and state or federal law. University investigations may be conducted before, after or simultaneously with civil or criminal proceedings, and University investigations are not subject to challenge on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the same conduct have been dismissed or reduced. When a person has been charged with a crime or a violation of civil law, the Universities will neither request nor agree to special consideration for the individual solely because of his/her student status. Persons subject to parallel criminal charges shall be instructed that their statements and/or other information supplied by them may be subject to subpoena.

When a criminal investigation of an incident of sexual violence, sexual or gender-based harassment, domestic or dating violence, stalking or retaliation is also occurring, the University will not delay its investigation due to the criminal investigation, unless law enforcement requests to gather evidence. When law enforcement makes such a request, the University will typically resume its investigation within three to ten days.

Withdrawal of Complaint. The complainant may withdraw a complaint at any point during the investigation. The EO Officer, Title IX Coordinator, or designee, however, may determine, in their discretion, that the allegations raised in the complaint warrant further investigation despite the complainant’s desire to withdraw the complaint. See Section VII(A) of the Sexual Violence Policy for more information on the circumstances under which the University may elect to proceed with an investigation of alleged sexual violence, sexual harassment, gender-based harassment, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking or retaliation.